UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)
v.)
COREY EUGENE ALEXANDER) Case No: 3:01CR00216-001
Data of Brancisco Indiananta 4/0/2002) USM No: <u>21052-057</u>
Date of Previous Judgment: 4/9/2003 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	Denzil Forrester Defendant's Attorney
(Ose Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)) Detendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Re	duction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of \blacksquare the defendant \square the Director $\S 3582(c)(2)$ for a reduction in the term of imprisonment improvement been lowered and made retroactive by the Unit $\S 994(u)$, and having considered such motion,	
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:	
■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the defendant's p	previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE Previous Offense Level: Criminal History Category: VI Previous Guideline Range: 262 to 327 months	GE (Prior to Any Departures) Amended Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Amended Guideline Range: 262 to 327 months
rievious duidenne Range. 202 to 327 months	Amended Outderine Range. 202 to 327 months
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELING The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less that of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction amended guideline range. Other (explain): No reduction. There is no change in the Career Offender. III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS 	nge. nge applicable to the defendant at the time
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dat IT IS SO ORDERED.	shall remain in effect.
Order Date: June 11, 2009	The Line
Effective Date:	Martin Reidinger United States District Judge